

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/059,013	01/30/2002		Hitoshi Saito	DAIN:505C	5563		
6160	7590	10/09/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		ENDEL, L.L.P.	HESS, BRUCE H				
1421 PRINC SUITE 210	LE STREE	21	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ALEXAND	RIA, VA	22314-2805	1774				
				DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003	3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Examiner Bruce Hess 1774					
Office Action Summary	Examiner Bruce	He	<i>چ</i> ۶	Group Art Unit		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover st	neet ben	eath the c	orrespondence add	ress—	
Period for Reply	_	_				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	XPIRE	3	MONTH(S	) FROM THE MAILIN	IG DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, exp</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,</li> </ul>	within the statutory sire SIX (6) MONTI	/ minimum HS from th	of thirty (30) e mailing dat	days will be considered to of this communication	timely.	
status	1					
☑Responsive to communication(s) filed on	s (tlea	noiti	٠)		·•	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C			ution as to	the merits is close	d in	
Disposition of Claims						
¥ Claim(s) \			is/are	$\_$ is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	<del></del>		is/are	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)			is/are			
□ Claim(s) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	is/are	/are rejected.				
□ Claim(s)						
□ Claim(s)					election	
Application Papers			require	•		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	eview PTO-948	ì				
	•			d		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 appro	ved 🗆	disapprove	u.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected	• •		• •	u.		
	• •		• •	u.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	• •		• •	u.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	• •		• •	u.		
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	to by the Exam r 35 U.S.C. § 11 priority docume	iner. 9(a)-(d) ents have	been			
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>	to by the Exam	9(a)-(d)	been			
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_</li> </ul>	r 35 U.S.C. § 11 priority docume	9(a)-(d) ents have	e been e 1 7.2(a)).			
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International</li> </ul>	r 35 U.S.C. § 11 priority docume	9(a)-(d) ents have	e been e 1 7.2(a)).			
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International copies not received:</li> </ul>	r 35 U.S.C. § 11 priority docume	9(a)-(d) ents have	e 1 7.2(a)).			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	r 35 U.S.C. § 11 priority docume	9(a)-(d) ents have	been 1 7.2(a)).	·	n, PTO-15:	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/059,013

Art Unit: 1774

1. Upon reconsideration, restriction requirement has been withdrawn

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,333,294 or claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No.6,579,597 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because selection of the form of the marks (e.g., use multiple symbols) would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art in the absence of unexpected results.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce Hess whose telephone number is aaaaa. The examiner can normally be reached on mjjjj.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, dq w can be reached on e. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is d.

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER